

EAST FALLOWFIELD TOWNSHIP
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS MEETING
June 28, 2011
Approved minutes
6:50 PM

CALL TO ORDER, SILENT MEDITATION, AND PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

IN ATTENDANCE: Chris Amentas, Chairman; Chris Makely, Vice Chairman; George Broadbent, Member; Gary Barach, Member; Mark Toth, Member; Denise Miller, Township Secretary; Jill Bukata, Township Manager and Treasurer; Vince Pompo, Solicitor; and Chris Della Penna, Township Engineer.

APPROVAL OF MINUTES:

Correction – I make a motion to acknowledge that Mr. Makely seconded the adjournment motion in the May 24, 2011 minutes.

May 24, 2011 minutes – Mr. Amentas made a motion to approve the May 24, 2011 minutes as presented. Mr. Broadbent seconded. Buddy Rhoades stated that the word “out breakers” in the minutes should be “outriggers.” Vote: Unanimous.

May 31, 2011 minutes – Mr. Amentas made a motion to approve the May 31, 2011 minutes as presented. Mr. Broadbent seconded. Vote: Unanimous.

CITIZENS BY REQUEST:

Buddy Rhoades – Mr. Rhoades stated that a York Waste employee said he had too much recycling out for pickup and commented on other trash issues.

TREASURER’S REPORT

Jill Bukata presented the financial report and payment authorizations.

Cash balances are as follows

General Fund	\$240,090.72
Liquid Fuels Fund	\$230,747.08
Capital Project Fund	\$511,293.39
Escrow Fund	\$416,542.99
Park and Recreation Fund	\$237,373.51

Payment authorizations for the period of May 25, 2011 through June 28, 2011

From the General Fund	\$120,080.64
From the Liquid Fuels Fund	\$ 3,855.97
From the Escrow Account	\$ 14,236.86
From the Park and Recreation Accounts	\$ 65,567.87
West Chester Road	\$ 200.00
Payroll Transfers	\$112,000.95

Mrs. Bukata informed the Board that the township is \$85,000.00 short in their Earned Income Tax collection. Mrs. Bukata also stated that the township could be short \$100,000.00 in revenue. If the projected money is not received, the General Fund will be short cash in September if the township receives approximately \$200,000.00 in Earned Income Tax in the next two months. Mr. Makely asked if the \$100,000.00 is uncollectable or \$100,000.00 less. Mrs. replied that this year \$900,000.00 was budgeted and the township may only receive \$800,000.00 or less due to the money not being sent to the township. Mrs. Bukata also stated that she is looking into if the Earned Income Tax money is going to the Coatesville Area School District. Mr. Barach asked Mrs. Bukata what she proposes to do to further looking into this situation. Mrs. Bukata replied that the Chester County Tax Collections Committee has hired a consultant to look into the matter. Mr. Barach suggested that the township should send their own auditor to review the files. Mr. Amentas stated that

most of the townships that are affected are in the Coatesville Area School District. Mr. Amentas also stated that he wants an answer to this issue by the July 26, 2011 meeting. Mr. Barach asked Mrs. Bukata to write a letter tomorrow advising Keystone Collections Group that he will spend two days inspecting records pertaining to the township to investigate where the township tax money is. Mr. Barach also stated that his time spent will be performed as a "Supervisor." Mr. Barach asked Mrs. Bukata if the township collected their money would the budget be on target. Mrs. Bukata replied that they will be on target with the Earned Income Tax budget. Mr. Barach asked Mrs. Bukata to confirm that she is investigating some transactions to see if the township actually received revenue from the transfer tax. Mrs. Bukata replied "yes."

May 31, 2011 Treasurer's report – Mr. Amentas made a motion to approve the May 31, 2011 Treasurer's report as presented. Mr. Broadbent seconded. Bob King commented on the Earned Income Tax issue. Mr. Amentas called for a vote. Mr. Makely abstained and Mr. Barach opposed. Vote passed.

May 2011 payment authorizations – Mr. Broadbent made a motion to approve the May 2011 payment authorizations. Mr. Toth seconded. Mr. Broadbent asked Mrs. Bukata for the status on the Township credit card. Mrs. Bukata replied that she is in the process of getting one from First Niagara Bank. Mr. Barach asked Mrs. Bukata if the Park and Recreation major disbursements are consistent with their contracts. Mrs. Bukata replied that Progressive was slightly lower than what was invoiced and AMRIC had a small change that was approved by the Park and Recreation Commission. Vote: Unanimous.

Selection of auditor for 2010 audit – Mrs. Bukata recommended McGladrey and Pullen, LLP based on a number of issues in the 2008 and 2009 audit that "McGladrey" is familiar with. Mr. Amentas asked Mrs. Bukata about the difference between a "full report" and a "DCED" report. Mrs. Bukata replied that a "DCED" report is not the full Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (GAAP) report and the "DCED" report is a state form. Mrs. Bukata also stated that all three firms agreed to work on a "not to exceed" basis and provide any savings back to the Township. After further Board discussion Mr. Makely made a motion to hire Mingis, Gutowski and Company, LLP for the 2010 and 2011 audit based on the price of \$13,500.00 for 2010 and \$14,000.00 for 2011. Mr. Barach asked that the motion be amended for one year. Mr. Makely amended his motion for the year 2010 only. Mr. Toth seconded. Mr. Amentas asked if there is any argument to be made for opting for the "DCED" report instead of a "full report." Mr. Barach replied "that is not a good idea." Bob King commented on the office time spent on going with the lowest bidder. Buddy Rhoades suggested going with the lowest bid for one year. Dan Johns suggested staying with the same audit firm for one more year. Ed Porter asked Mr. Barach if there is any way to make the transfer easier with a new firm. Mr. Barach replied that when you change audit firms there is a lot of aggravation. Mr. Barach also stated that he is torn about this. Mr. Makely called for a vote. Mr. Barach opposed. Vote passed.

PARK AND RECREATION:

June 28, 2011 summary – The June 28, 2011 summary was presented.

Mr. Dan Johns stated that the "Hats of to our Heroes" was a success. Mr. Johns would also like to thank Tag and his road department for all their help.

Mr. Johns asked if the Park and Recreation Commission could have a representative of the Coatesville School District attend their next meeting regarding the sewer.

HISTORICAL COMMISSION:

No report submitted.

PLANNING COMMISSION:

Removal of Member – Based on certain things that happened in the last few months, such as lack of devotion to a position within the Township. Mr. Makely made a motion to remove Ryan Ray as a member of the East Fallowfield Township Planning Commission. Mr. Toth seconded. Mr. Makely stated that prior to making this motion he spoke with Mr. Ray and he indicated his intention of resigning and presented a letter to Mr. Makely. Mr. Makely asked Mr. Ray to have the letter notarized and returned to the township office. Mr. Barach asked Mr. Makely if health issues are involved. Mr. Makely replied "not that he knows of." Buddy Rhoades stated that the Vice Chairman is sick so that leaves four members of the Planning Commission. Mr. Broadbent stated that once this motion is passed an ad should be placed for a new member. Vote: Unanimous.

Vision Partnership grant – Mr. Ray Ott of Ray Ott and Associates informed the Board that he submitted a grant application, at no cost to the township, to the County. The total grant is for \$58,000.00 with the township share being \$14,500.00 and the County share \$43,500.00. Mr. Ott stated that the deadline for the grant has passed but the County will consider an extension where the Township could make a change. Mr. Amentas stated that there is a significant increase in the fee from the proposal 3 years ago. Mr. Ott replied

that the increase is only \$3,000.00. Mr. Ott also stated that the chances of being approved increase when you submit a higher match. Mr. Makely suggested sending a letter to the County regarding the township's financial situation. Mr. Broadbent made a motion to increase the match for the Chester County Vision Partnership program from 25% to 30% which equates to \$17,400.00. Mr. Amentas seconded. Mr. Barach asked Mr. Ott when the grant will be granted. Mr. Ott replied "July." Mr. Barach also asked when the township match money is due. Mr. Ott replied that the township will never have to come up with the match amount. The County will reimburse the township when the Comp Plan is completed. Mr. Barach asked when does the township have to start paying money and how much will it be. Mr. Ott replied "once he starts working." Mr. Ott also explained that his invoices go to the County for review before they are submitted to the township for payment. Buddy Rhoades suggested waiting until next year to do a Comp Plan. Vote: Unanimous.

SOLICITOR'S REPORT:

Mr. Pompo stated that the Board has met in Executive Session before tonight's meeting to discuss three matters of potential litigation.

LEGAL ISSUES:

Vermeil waiver request/Fairview Road speed change – Mr. Makely stated that the Township should have a special meeting to inform residents and those who use Fairview Road of the potential speed limit change. Mr. Amentas said he thought at the last meeting it was determined that the speed limit had to be 20 MPH. Mr. Chris Schubert, Attorney for the Co-Applicants, stated that if the speed is changed to 25 MPH then they are down to one of the sight distance requirements looking south. Mr. Schubert also stated that 20 MPH would eliminate the issue but in conversation with Mr. Pompo and from reviewing the Vehicle Code, it would require an engineering report and traffic study for PennDot. Mr. Amentas stated that the only reason they are having this discussion in the first place is because the "driveway" is being interpreted as a "road." Mr. Amentas also asked if the speed limit was changed by ten MPH would anything further be needed. Mr. Pompo replied that you would eventually have to do an ordinance. Mr. Amentas stated that he does not have a problem in changing the speed limit on Fairview Road but the Board has to be careful on the reason why it is being changed. Mr. Makely stated "if the cell tower was not going up then the Board would not be changing the speed limit." Mr. Makely also stated that if the speed limit is changed on Fairview Road for safety reasons then it should be changed on Newlinville Road for safety. Mr. Pompo stated that this would have been raised when Mr. Della Penna looked at the detailed plans. Mr. Schubert replied "that is how the process goes." They went through zoning and conditional use first before they go into land development issues and that is where Mr. Della Penna brought it up. Mr. Schubert also stated that this is an existing driveway it is not new or being created. Mr. Makely asked if this is a new tower. Mr. Schubert replied "yes." Mr. Makely then asked "why is it being changed." Mr. Schubert explained that the speed limit 35 MPH so he needs a waiver because they went through with an interpretation through land development that requires "sight distance." Mr. Amentas asked if nothing has been changed then that leads him to believe that at some point and time this driveway or road was interpreted as a "driveway" or it was put in at a time that this ordinance was not in effect. Mr. Makely asked when the driveway was put in. Mr. Schubert replied that the original tower was put in around 1972. Mr. Amentas asked "why is this being called a road." Mr. Della Penna replied that the way the ordinance describes sight distance for driveways is it refers to the section on the intersection for the road. It is not a clear description of site distance for driveways. Mr. Pompo stated that the provision that concerns driveways simply references you back to the provisions that concern road intersections. Mr. Amentas asked if anyone was putting in a driveway for their house would it be the same. Mr. Della Penna replied "for as long as he has been here it has always been interpreted that way." Mr. Pompo stated that there is logic as well because it is a safety issue. Mr. Amentas stated that his problem is anytime someone asks for a waiver of some kind there would have to be some argument to support that and he is not sure that he heard, at this time, a creditable enough argument that is supported by actual facts. Mr. Schubert replied that there is a driveway down the street that serves a residence, so there is nothing that really changes other than the fact that, at some point and time, the ordinances have changed. Mr. Pompo stated that he could make an argument under the criteria for waivers. There should be some kind of hardship that is associated to the way in. One would be that is where the existing driveway and another that was presented during conditional use that the township spent a lot of time seeing if the tower could be moved so as to comply with the front yard requirement and one of the big reasons why it couldn't be, as presented by the applicant, was because the Brandywine Conservancy would not allow them to go any further into the property. Mr. Makely stated that he doesn't see something that is going to be an additional source of revenue a "hardship." Mr. Pompo stated that another criteria is that if you look at the use and argue that this use is different than a residential driveway use but also if the intent of the ordinance is being fulfilled than that is where you're turning around back to lowering the speed limit to 25 MPH. Mr. Pompo also stated that if the road deserves that then it is an important consideration. Since the standard is based upon the posted speed limit and you want to make sure the intent of the ordinance is satisfied. Mr. Pompo also stated that if the road was posted at 25 MPH he would agree that eight feet one way is the minimum and you met the intent of the ordinance. Mr. Della Penna stated that normally when this situation comes up there is an issue of sight distance and the applicant would analyze what the problem is and see if there is any alternative to resolve the issue. Mr. Schubert replied that they cannot move the driveway simply because if they did they would be flipping it to the other side of where it's coming in and that would lead to more disturbance. Mr. Schubert also stated that the driveway is existing; they are not creating a new one. In order to move it to the other side it would involve shifting around the compound itself and they would have to go back to the Planning Commission.

Mr. Broadbent asked Mr. Schubert if they moved the driveway to the other side would they meet the sight distance. Mr. Schubert replied "yes" and the issue that remains is looking to the south if the speed limit is reduced to 25 MPH. Mr. Della Penna asked Mr. Schubert if he knows what the constraining factor is. Mr. Schubert replied "the road curb." After further Board discussion, Mr. Amentas made a motion to advertise reducing the speed limit on Fairview Road from 35 MPH to 25 MPH and grant New Cingular Wireless a waiver from the sight distance requirement of Section 2-614.7 of the township code, which would render the application illegal in terms of sight distance in the absence of the reduction of the speed limit. Then the site distance going from north to south would be inadequate under the current ordinance without a waiver of eight feet. Mr. Amentas amended his motion. Mr. Amentas made a motion to advertise reducing the speed limit on Fairview Road from 35 MPH to 25 MPH and granting a waiver looking south from the sight distance requirement of Section 22-614.7 of eight feet and further move to deem the sight distance waiver effective once Co-Applicants note same on the site plans and to authorize granting of the necessary permits. Mr. Broadbent seconded. Buddy Rhoades commented on the speed limits and the waivers. Conrad DeAbreu commented on variances and driveways. Siti Crook commented on the dependency of residents' use of the cell tower. Mr. Della Penna asked Mr. Schubert if it would be possible if the speed limit was changed to move the driveway over eight feet to eliminate the need for a waiver. Mr. Schubert replied that they already have the established foot print for the road and it would create more disturbances with regards to it. Mr. Schubert also believes it is not warranted from the stand point that the motion is now on the table. Mr. Amentas called for a vote. Mr. Makely opposed. Vote passed.

Ridgecrest Subdivision – Adam Brower P.E., of E. B. Walsh & Associates, Inc. and Ted Moser of Moser Builders presented the Board of Supervisors with the changes made to the Ridgecrest plan. Mr. Brower stated that one of the changes is a wider house because of the change from a side loading garage to a front loading garage which makes the lot narrow and deeper making more of a back yard. Also in coordination with the Planning Commission, an additional trail was created through the site coming from the southern part through the property with a tot lot area, then cutting across the woods and tying back into the cul-de-sac. There was a trail coming across before and it stopped. In lieu of the parking lot and cutting the fields for a general purpose field, the Planning Commission felt it was appropriate to create a walking path instead along with some of the internal recreation facilities for the residents. With the help from Mr. MacCombie and his staff, the eight or nine problem lots are now gravity sewer that will cut down the trail and tie back into the sewer on the lower cul-de-sac, as discussed, and come out through the southern part of the site and tie in on Goosetown Road. Also three different areas for parking have been added. Mr. Brower requested that the Board of Supervisors give final conditional approval for the Ridgecrest Development. Mr. Amentas asked Mr. Brower when was the last time they were in front of the Planning Commission was. Mr. Brower replied "June." Mr. Amentas asked what their position on the plan was. Mr. Brower replied "they gave a recommendation for conditional approval based on addressing Mr. Della Penna's comments. Mr. Amentas asked what does conditional approval mean. Mr. Brower replied that they would receive final approval once all Mr. Della Penna's comments are addressed. Mr. Pompo stated that once all the conditions are satisfied they could post their security according to plan. If the Board is predisposed to taking action tonight Mr. Pompo recommended that in addition to referencing Mr. Della Penna's comment letter that there is an outstanding letter dated April 19, 2011 from Mr. MacCombie concerning their revised sewer plans as well as the Board's preliminary final decision dated July 16, 2004 that contains a lot of conditions of approval related to the original plans and also the original conditional use decision that also has conditions of approval. Mr. Pompo stated that he would not want any of these conditions missed because they include things like a requirement to fund the original sewer project prior to the recording of the plans, conditions related to funding the road project at South Caln Road and West Chester Road, a facade easement on the historic farm house and more. Mr. Pompo asked Mr. Moser if he was acceptable to all the prior conditions. Mr. Moser replied that the only changes that they are making are the layout and he accepts everything else. Mr. Broadbent asked if there are any estimates for the West Chester Road project. Mr. Brower replied that they previously agreed to post \$500.00 per unit. Mr. Pompo stated there was a new estimate from a contractor that was submitted and last time he met with the Board there was an agreement to obtain a proposal in order to review it. Mr. Makely asked Mr. Della Penna if he would make a motion for Ridgecrest to proceed. Mr. Della Penna replied "I did in my letter." Mr. Makely asked Mr. Pompo if Mr. Moser legally fulfilled what is required. Mr. Pompo replied that in a legal prospective so long as all the other prior approvals are incorporated into the motion as well as Mr. MacCombie's letter which is also listed in Mr. Della Penna's letter he would give Ridgecrest approval. Mr. Makely made a motion to approve the revised May 10, 2011 Ridgecrest subdivision plans contingent on Chris Della Penna's final review letter as well as the other conditions mentioned by Mr. Pompo and based upon the recommendation of Mr. Della Penna. Mr. Amentas seconded. Ed Porter asked Mr. Della Penna if there are any other developments in the township that has parking by the road. Mr. Della Penna replied "not the he knows of." Mr. Porter also feels that other people will use the extra parking spaces by the road and they will not be monitored. Mr. Moser replied that they were put in the plans because the Planning Commission requested them but he will take them out if the Board instructs him to. Mr. Broadbent stated that he has concern with people exiting onto South Caln Road and going south down South Caln Road. Mr. Moser replied that it is a public trail that was required. Mr. Brower stated that the internal trail will not come out to the public trail. Mr. Broadbent stated that once the trail is completed it will let you come out onto South Caln Road. Mr. Moser replied "that's correct." Mr. Brower stated that they can put more spaces on the internal trail and remove a lot of spaces on South Caln Road.

Mr. Amentas asked Mr. Moser if there is a problem with approving their plans at next month's meeting. Mr. Moser replied "he needs to get the 537 done tonight so they can move along." Mr. Brower stated that he does not know if the various components have been reviewed by various agencies and would like to make sure that the process is moving forward. Mr. Makely withdrew his motion and asked Mr. Pompo if the various components have been reviewed yet. Mr. Pompo replied that he has the April 19, 2011 letter from Mr. MacCombie and a letter from Evans Mill Environmental, LLC to the township with the proposed language for a narrative of the project. The Board authorizes Mrs. Bukata to send a cover letter along with the Component 4 paperwork to the County of Chester. The Board put Mr. Moser on the July 15, 2011 workshop agenda for further discussion.

Coatesville Area School District – Ken Johnson on behalf of the Coatesville Area School District presented the Board with two right-of-ways that are related to the storm pipe replacement that goes under Strasburg Road and Doe Run Road intersection. Due to the proposed project to South Brandywine Middle School there are additional right-of-ways needed to be granted to the Commonwealth of PA ("Commonwealth") because of the increase in the pipe size. Mr. Johnson also stated that the East Fallowfield Planning Commission did not have any issues with the right-of-ways. Mr. Makely asked if the Commonwealth is aware of the maintenance. Mr. Johnson replied "yes." Mr. Johnson also stated that the Park and Recreation Commission and the Commonwealth sent a letter requiring the right-of-way documents. Mr. Barach asked Mr. Johnson the definition of the distance of the right-of-way and the volume of water that is anticipated to go through the pipe. Mr. Johnson replied "1,294 square feet or .03 acres" Mr. Johnson also stated that the volume of water that will go onto the park will be changed and regulated. Mr. Makely made a motion to approve the required right-of-way line be deeded to the Commonwealth of Pa. Mr. Amentas seconded. Vote: Unanimous. Mr. Makely made a motion to approve the required drainage easement to be deeded to the Commonwealth. Mr. Amentas seconded. Jan Bowers, Park and Recreation Commission member, asked that a representative from the Coatesville School District attend the next Park and Recreation Commission workshop to go over their remaining issues. Ms. Bowers also asked Mr. Johnson if the easements being considered for approval by the Board were of sufficient size to include all of the drainage improvements that are planned to be installed on the township park property. Mr. Johnson replied that those modifications would be requested on the Land Development plans. Mr. Johnson will request that a representative attend the next Park and Recreation Commission meeting. Mr. Pompo asked if the Board was going to hold off approval until they find out whether the easement has to be expanded. Mr. Johnson replied that he understands from the site engineer that everything within the easement that affects the pipe relative to the PennDot right-of-way is covered within that area. There may be some additional things relative to another pipe that do not fall within the PennDot right-of-way. Vote: Unanimous.

Thomas Subdivision – Wagner Lane payment request #4 – Mr. Della Penna presented the Board with payment request #4 for the Thomas Subdivision. Mr. Makely made a motion to approve payment request #4 in the amount of \$19,510.00 for the Thomas Subdivision leaving a balance of \$58,147.51. Mr. Broadbent seconded. Mr. Amentas asked how many lots are in the subdivision. Mr. Della Penna replied "4 I believe." Mr. Amentas also asked if \$58,147.51 enough for security. Mr. Della Penna replied yes and Mr. Thomas is also working on dedication. Vote: Unanimous.

Comcast Franchise agreement – Mr. Pompo stated that he received a reply to his May letter on June 21, 2011. Comcast indicated that during the period of time when you could collect non cable revenue that they did pay the township until the law changed and then they put in a new proposal in which they would essentially agree to the same terms of the franchise agreement as previously discussed but with an extension date that would end in 2016 so that the franchise period would extend for no greater than five years which the Board had asked for. Mr. Amentas asked Mr. Pompo if he was confident that the federal law as currently written does not enable the township to collect franchise fees for anything but cable. The Board agreed to place this on the July 2011 agenda for adoption.

Sewer Ordinance – Mr. Amentas made a motion to adopt Ordinance 2011-02 an Ordinance amending chapter 18 of the East Fallowfield Township, sewer and sewage disposal pertaining to connections to public sewer requiring the assistance of a sewage pump solicitor draft May 25, 2011. Mr. Makely seconded. Vote: Unanimous.

ATV Ordinance – Mr. Amentas stated that he made changes to the proposed ordinance and asked the Board to review it before the next workshop.

POLICE DEPARTMENT:

May 2011 police report – The May 2011 police report was presented.

Resignation of Officer Richard Imhoff – Mr. Makely made a motion to accept the resignation of part time police officer Richard B. Imhoff effective June 14, 2011. Mr. Barach asked the circumstances of Officer Imhoff's resignation. Mr. Makely replied "conflicting hours." Mr. Broadbent seconded. Buddy Rhoades suggested considering that part time officers also work at other police departments. Mr. Makely asked Mrs. Bukata if the police contract was on the website. Mrs. Bukata replied "yes." Mr. Makely also asked

Mrs. Bukata to put all the police arbitration information on the website. Mrs. Bukata stated that Chief Porter has a potential part time officer that has just graduation from the academy that is riding with the township police officers. Buddy Rhoades commented that hopefully the township has insurance to have a potential officer riding in the township. Vote: Unanimous.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT:

May 2011 road and vehicle report – The May 2011 road and vehicle report was presented.

May 2011 recycling report – The May 2011 recycling report was presented.

EMERGENCY SERVICES:

No reports presented.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS:

No unfinished business presented.

NEW BUSINESS:

No new business presented.

PUBLIC PARTICIPATION:

Buddy Rhoades of 2176 Strasburg Road commented on the speed limit on South Caln Road, Misty Patch Road and other township roads.

Conrad DeAbreu of 105 Cumberland Road stated that the Planning Commission had two meetings with no quorum and decisions were made regarding the parking and trails at Ridgecrest Development.

Bob King of 235 Misty Patch Road – Mr. King asked if Mr. Moser going to pay his \$13,000.00 towards the West Chester Road project. His contract says he does not have to pay until the end of his development. Mr. Pompo suggested that the Board keep this in mind because the letter of the agreement says for Northwood's is that Mr. Moser doesn't have to put up the last amount of money until the last lot is sold. Mr. King also stated that the Ridgecrest parking was talked about at a Planning Commission that did not have a quorum.

Ed Porter of 795 South Caln Road commented on the Ridgecrest parking by South Caln Road.

Jeanne Berlin of 535 Misty Patch Road asked when talking about a development at a meeting to put what road it is on in the minutes. Mrs. Berlin also stated that Ridgecrest never came to a Park and Recreation meeting regarding the tot lot or the trails. Mr. Makely replied that he will let them know this at the next workshop.

Buddy Rhoades of 2176 Strasburg Road asked if the township has the deed to the land on Goosetown Road that was given to the township. Mr. Rhoades also stated that the township could sell this property.

ADJOURNMENT: Mr. Amentas made a motion to adjourn the Board of Supervisors meeting at 10:40 PM. Mr. Amentas seconded. Vote: Unanimous.

Respectfully Submitted,

Denise Miller,
Township Secretary